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**ABSTRACT.** *Saccharomyces cerevisiae Sc47* (Biosaf™) is a commercially available baker’s yeast strain (Lesaffre, France) that has been used as a probiotic in animal nutrition. It has been previously reported that animals fed with the yeast showed an improved resistance to several enteric infectious diseases. Some of the *S. cerevisiae* strains adhere potentially pathogenic bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* spp. This could be a mechanism through which animals fed with the yeast may become more resistant to infections caused by these microorganisms. In this paper, the adhesion of forty-five *Salmonella* spp. isolates to Sc47 was assessed by sedimentation and agglutination tests, and by light and electron microscopy. Results showed that 57.7% (26/45) of the isolates and 66.6% (6/9) of the *Salmonella* serovars tested adhered to the Sc47 cell wall.

**INTRODUCTION**

*Salmonella* spp. is the second most common etiological agent isolated from enteric diseased pigs. In most cases, it is the cause of moderate diarrheic enteritis in piglets, and is one of the main infectious agents in human enteric zoonoses, as it is found in meat and food.26,27 A wide range of antibiotics are used to treat human salmonellosis. However, genetic mutations and selective pressure have pushed *Salmonella* spp., as well as other bacteria, to become resistant or multi-resistant to antibiotics.2,3 Furthermore, the use of subtherapeutic doses of antibiotics as growth promoters in the pig industry may have accelerated the wide spread of antibiotic resistant strains.19 Hence, the development of alternative therapeutic or prophylactic agents, such as probiotics, have been under intense study for the last decade. Some *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains have been used as probiotics in humans for many years, because they exert some influence on the intestinal flora with efficacy in clinical trials for prevention and treatment of antimicrobial-associated diarrhea.17,10 It has been reported that active yeasts, such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae boulardii* (hans-en CBS 5926) improve the resistance of the intestinal ecosystem to infectious pathogens such as *Clostridium difficile*,9,8,28 *Escherichia coli*,12 *Shigella flexneri*,25 *Vibrio cholerae*,4,5 *Candida albicans*10 and *Salmonella typhimurium*.12 In addition to its therapeutic and prophylactic use in humans, *S. cerevisiae* has been used for over a decade as a probiotic in pigs because of its effect on growth and reproductive performance and the reduction of morbidity and mortality, particularly in young animals. Several *S. cerevisiae* strains are commercially available and have been used in the animal production industry.34,20 Some of the mechanisms that may help to understand how yeasts are able to protect the hosts against pathogens include the stimulation of the immune system at the lymphoid tissue level in the gut,22 degradation of bacterial toxins by the yeast’s pro-
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teolytic enzymes, inhibition of bacterial adherence to gastrointestinal epithelial cells by the release of a protease that digests the bacter receptor for certain pathogens such as Cl. difficile, and formation of yeast-bacter conglomrates by bacterial adhesion to the yeast’s cell wall.

There are many different strains of S. cerevisiae but only a few have been studied for their probiotic properties or for their capacity to adhere bacteria to their wall, and not all S. cerevisiae strains have the same beneficial effects on health and productive parameters. Previous studies have compared S. boulardii properties and some other probiotic strains, and showed that S. boulardii could bind E. coli type I fimbriae (FIM) more strongly than other S. cerevisiae probiotic strains.

S. cerevisiae Sc47 (Biosaf®, Saf-agri, Toluca, Mexico) is a commercially available strain, originally intended for the food industry. Its fermentation characteristics and cell wall composition prompted its testing as a potential probiotic. It has been used for over 20 years as a probiotic in pigs for its effect of reducing the frequency of diarrhea and other diseases in treated animals. In vivo assays, it was rehydrated with PBS (pH 7.2) 1:10 (w/v) at room temperature, and adjusted to a concentration of 8 × 10^7 CFU/ml.

Salmonella adhesion to Sc47 cell wall assays

Ten randomly chosen field isolates, plus a reference strain (Salmonella spp. ATCC 14028) and a S. gallinarum isolate, were assessed for adhesion by sedimentation and agglutination tests. From these, four isolates (three S. typhimurium and one S. choleraesuis), ATCC 14028 and the S. gallinarum isolate were included as controls for this and all the other experiments.

Sedimentation assay

Sc47 was rehydrated in 1 ml of PBS (pH 7.2) and adjusted to 8 × 10^7 CFU/ml. Five hundred µl of this suspension was placed in a 1.5 ml conical microcentrifuge tube and 50 µl of an overnight culture of the testing bacteria containing

| Table 1. Salmonella spp. vs. Sc47: sedimentation and adhesion assay with or without mannosse. |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Salmonella serotype | Proportion of isolates positive for sedimentation and agglutination in presence of mannosse | Proportion of isolates positive for sedimentation and agglutination |
| S. enterica ser. Typhimurium | 19/30 | 0/30 |
| S. enterica ser. Choleraesuis | 1/1 | 0/1 |
| S. enterica ser. Anatum | 3/4 | 0/4 |
| S. enterica ser. Bredeney | 1/3 | 0/3 |
| S. enterica ser. Agona | 1/2 | 0/2 |
| S. enterica ser. Reading | 1/2 | 0/2 |
| S. enterica ser. Monofasica | 0/1 | 0/1 |
| S. enterica ser. London | 0/1 | 0/1 |
| S. enterica ser. Enteritidis | 0/1 | 0/1 |
| Total | 26/45 (57.7%) | 0/47 (0%) |

* Salmonella serotypes were identified by slide agglutination test using the Kauffmann-White scheme
** S. typhimurium ATCC 14028 and S. gallinarum were used as positive and negative controls respectively (data not shown).
approximately $8 \times 10^9$ CFU/ml was added to the yeast suspension and mixed by pipetting. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for five minutes and sediments were subjectively evaluated by the pellet size observed at the bottom of the tube. Negative controls included testing bacteria alone, Sc47 alone, a mixture of Sc47 with S. gallinarum or BHI broth. The positive control was a suspension containing Sc47 and ATCC 14028 (Fig. 1).

**Mannose-sensitive adhesion assay**

In order to know whether type 1 fimbriae were the main factor involved in the sedimentation-agglutination reactions, all the isolates were tested for mannose sensitive adhesion according to a protocol published elsewhere with the modification that Sc47 was rehydrated in 1 ml of PBS containing 5% (w/v) D-mannose. To the rehydrated yeast ($8 \times 10^7$ CFU/ml) an equal volume of a pure bacterial culture containing $8 \times 10^9$ CFU/ml was added. The final mannose concentration was 2.5%. Controls used were equivalent to those mentioned above. Interpretation of results was also according to the protocols described above.

**Agglutination assay**

The ability of *Saccharomyces* to agglutinate Salmonella was evaluated according to a protocol published elsewhere with the following modifications: 10 µl of a Sc47 suspension containing approximately $8 \times 10^7$ CFU/ml were mixed with 10 µl of an overnight culture of the testing bacteria ($8 \times 10^9$ CFU/ml) on a microscopic slide using a pipette tip. Agglutination was observed by eye and subjectively evaluated by estimating the extent of binding immediately after the microorganisms were mixed together. Control samples in this experiment were the same as those used for the agglutination test (Fig. 2).

**Adhesion assay**

All the agglutination positive slides were Gram stained using a standard method. Smears were observed at 10, 40, and 100X magnifications by light microscopy. Adhesion was subjectively evaluated by observing the amount of bacteria bound to the yeast (Fig. 3, 4).
Sample preparation for transmission electron microscopy was done according to a protocol published elsewhere as follows: 30 µl of yeast suspension (8 × 10^7 CFU/ml) were washed twice with PBS (pH 7.4), then mixed with a bacterial test culture (60 µl of 8 × 10^9 CFU/ml) and fixed with glutaraldehyde. Cell membranes were sensitized with osmium tetraoxide (OsO₄). Samples were dehydrated with increasing concentrations of a 1:1 solution of ethanol and propylene oxide with epon in a desiccator. After incubation, the samples were embedded in epon (100%). Polymerization was induced by incubation at 60°C for 48 h. Ultrathin sections (700 nm) of the pellets were cut using an ultramicrotome (Reichert-Jung, Austria) and stained with uranil acetate and lead citrate. Samples were observed with a Jeol 1200 CXII electron microscope.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Six *Salmonella* spp. were used for protocol standardization; four motile *S. typhimurium* isolates (15, 72, 74, 19) from pigs, one motile reference strain (*S. typhimurium* ATCC 14028), and one non-motile *S. gallinarum* isolate from a naturally infected chicken. All the strains and isolates showing positive sedimentation reaction were also positive for agglutination, and bound to the yeast’s cell wall as observed by light and transmission electron microscopy, while the *S. gallinarum* isolate (used as negative control) did not induce sedimentation, agglutination or adhesion to Sc47. Interestingly, none of these bacteria showed sedimentation or agglutinating properties in the presence of D-mannose (Table 2).

Of the 45 *Salmonella* pig isolates tested, 57.7% (26/45) induced sedimentation and agglutination when in contact with Sc47, and none (0/47) in the presence of D-mannose (Table 1). It can also be observed in Table 1 that 66.6% (6/9) of the different *Salmonella* serovars used in the present study were able to induce sedimentation and agglutination, although isolates of the same serovar did not necessarily show the same sedimentation-agglutination pattern. Previous reports have shown that *Saccharomyces* has some affinity to *Salmonella* strains that express type 1 fimbriae. This affinity is mediated by the mannan oligosaccharides (MOS) of *Saccharomyces* cell wall, and can be inhibited by mannose complexes. In the present study, more than 50.0% of the *Salmonella* isolates tested bound to *S. cerevisiae* Sc47 and all of them were inhibited by mannose.

It was interesting to observe that isolates of the same *Salmonella* serovar had different abilities to adhere to *S. cerevisiae* Sc47, and that the number of serovars with binding and non binding phenotypes were evenly distributed (approximately 50% of each) (Table 1). Differences in binding capacity have been previously reported by McDermid et al who stated that growing conditions such as temperature, humidity and pH may have a large impact on *Salmonella* on the capacity of *Salmonella* to express fimbriae. In that study, it was reported that up to 52.0% of the tested strains would express fimbriae if grown at a near-neutral pH (7.1), but they would not express it if grown at extreme pH conditions (4.35 or 9.45). Additionally, another study reported that some biphasic *S. typhimurium* strains (particularly strain 798), were able to frequently switch between FIM⁺ and FIM⁻ phenotypes at a rate of 10⁻² to 10⁻⁵ per generation. Experiments in pigs showed that *Salmonella* FIM⁺ strains were able to survive and colonize the pig’s intestinal epithelium more efficiently than *Salmonella* FIM⁻.

### Table 2. Sedimentation, agglutination and adhesion assay protocol standardization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain/serotype</th>
<th>Sediment</th>
<th>Agglut</th>
<th>Gram stained smears (Adhesion)</th>
<th>Transmission electron microscopy (Adhesion)</th>
<th>Sediment in presence of D-mannose</th>
<th>Agglut in presence of D-mannose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>S. enterica</em> ser. Typhimurium 15</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella enterica</em> ser. Typhimurium 72</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella enterica</em> ser. Typhimurium 74</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella enterica</em> ser. Choleraesuis 19</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella enterica</em> ser. Typhimurium ATCC 14028</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella enterica</em> ser. Gallinarum</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS (control)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The serotypes were identified by slide agglutination test using the Kauffmann-White scheme
** Sediment = sedimentation; Agglut. = agglutination
strains. From these results, it has been hypothesized that the adhesion differences between serovars could be explained by *Salmonella*’s capacity to regulate genes involved in FIM expression.\(^1\)

In the present study the capacity of Sc47 to bind *Salmonella* spp in-vivo was not evaluated, nor were the likely differences in the binding capacity of other commercially available *Saccharomyces* strains tested, so further studies should be conducted in order to address these issues.

Most *Salmonella* serovars in pigs produce a self limiting infection associated with diarrhea,\(^28\) while *S. choleraesuis* is able to produce septicaemia.\(^24\) In either case, the infection has an oral route and the bacteria have to travel through the intestinal tract to produce the disease. The observation that Sc47 was able to reduce diarrhea frequency in piglets might be related to its capacity to interfere with *Salmonella*, and probably with other bacteria such as pathogenic *E. coli*, reaching the intestinal epithelium, thus preventing disease. Sc47 may block the *Salmonella* FIM mediated adhesion to the intestinal wall, and consequently diminishing its survival and colonization opportunities. Further studies need to be conducted in order to prove this hypothesis.
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